

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing this collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Defense, Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)		2. REPORT TYPE Technical Papers		3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER	
				5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER	
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER 2362	
				5e. TASK NUMBER MIG2	
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT	
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Air Force Research Laboratory (AFMC) AFRL/PRS 5 Pollux Drive Edwards AFB CA 93524-7048				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)	
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S NUMBER(S)	
12. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES					
14. ABSTRACT					
15. SUBJECT TERMS					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT A	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON Leilani Richardson
a. REPORT Unclassified	b. ABSTRACT Unclassified	c. THIS PAGE Unclassified			19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (include area code) (661) 275-5015

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18

36 separate items are enclosed

801 6111

G2

TP-FY99-0132

✓ Spreadsheet
✓ DTS

MEMORANDUM FOR PRR (~~Contractor~~/In-House Publication)

FROM: PROI (TI) (STINFO)

9 June 1999

SUBJECT: Authorization for Release of Technical Information, Control Number: AFRL-PR-ED-TP-FY99-0132
C.T. Liu, "Effects of Microstructure on Damage Evolution, Strain Inhomogeneity, and Fracture in a Particulate Composite"

Presentation slides/Invited Lecture
International Conference/Brussels, Belgium

(Public Release)



Effects of Microstructure on Damage Evolution, Strain Inhomogeneity, and Fracture in a Particulate Composite

C.T. Liu

Air Force Research Laboratory

AFRL/PRSM

10 E. Saturn Blvd.



Edwards AFB, CA 03524-7680

20021119 108



Objective


- Investigate the Effects of Microstructure of a Particulate Composite Material on Damage Mechanisms, Strain Fields, and Local Fracture Near the Crack Tip.






Calibration

D1559.




Maximum Principal Strain Distribution for 2.0% Far Field Strain During Loading.



Test 1




Maximum Principal Strain Distribution for
4.0% Far Field Strain During Loading.
Test 1




Maximum Principal Strain Distribution for
6.0% Far Field Strain During Loading.
Test 1





Maximum Principal Strain Distribution for
8.0% Far Field Strain During Reloading.
Test 1




Maximum Principal Strain Distribution for
10.0% Far Field Strain during Reloading
Test 1













Iso-Intensity Contour Plots of I_t Near Crack Tip




(a) $\epsilon = 3\%$



(b) $\epsilon = 6\%$



(c) $\epsilon = 9\%$




(d) $\epsilon = 12\%$




Iso-Intensity Contour Plots of I_t Near Crack Tip


D1559.




(e) $\epsilon = 13.5\%$



(g) $\epsilon = 13.5\%$




(f) $\epsilon = 13.5\%$



(h) $\epsilon = 13.5\%$



Normal Strain (Experimental Result)





Conclusions

- 1) The Heterogeneity of the Microstructure Plays a Key Role for Local Damage and Strain Distributions Near the Crack Tip.
- 2) The High Strain Field Is Localized Within 1 ^{lc} Mm of the Crack Tip.
- 3) Damage Saturation at the Crack Tip Precedes Crack Growth.
- 4) The Damage Distribution Is Roughly Commensurate With the Strain Distribution in the Specimen.
- 5) The Crack Growth, ^{lc} process Consists of Blunt-Growth-Blunt and Slow-Fast-Slow Phenomena.